JOURNAL BOARD /// YEAR 04 VOLUME 04 NUMBER 02 #11 ISSUE



www.journalmodernpm.com

QUAD-MONTHLY | MAY-AUGUST ISSUE | 2016

ACADEMIC EDITOR

Steven D. Eppinger

MIT Sloan School of Management

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Christophe N. Bredillet

UQTR, Canada

Darren Dalcher

Hertfordshire Business School, UK
Edward J. Hoffman

Edward J. H

Ask Journal, USA

Joana Geraldi

University College London

Kalle Kähkönen

Tampere University of Technology, Finland

Marly Monteiro de Carvalho São Paulo University Monique Aubry

Université du Québec à Montreal – Canada P. John Clarkson FREng

University of Cambridge, UK

Pierre Bonnal CERN, Switzerland

Sam Savage

Stanford University, USA

Tyson R. Browning
Texas Christian University

Udo Lindemann

Technische Universität München, Germany

Young Hoon Kwak

The George Washington University, USA

MANAGING EDITORS BOARD

Abdelaziz Bouras

Qatar University

Bernard Yannou Ecole Centrale Paris, France

Darli Rodrigues Vieira

uotr Canada

EDITORIAL REVIEW BOARD

Eugenio Pellicer

Polytechnic University of Valencia

Franco Carom

Polytechnic of Milan

Hsueh-Ming S. Wang

University of Alaska

Jui-Sheng Chou

Mario Vanhoucke

University of Gent, Belgium

Philip Huang

Peking University

Xiaobo Xu

American University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

PUBLISHING STAFF

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF & PUBLISHER

Osmar Zózimo de Souza Jr.

zozimo@journalmodernpm.com

PUBLISHER ASSISTANTS

Américo Pinto

Larissa S. Romualdo Suzuki

J. A. Vianna Tavares

Mário Henrique Trentim

GRAPHIC DESIGN

Ricardo Martins

ricardo@journalmodernpm.com

SUBSCRIPTIONS

subscriptions@journalmodernpm.com

SUBMISSION OF ARTICLES

Outside referee's judge selected submissions.

Submit manuscripts and article proposals as

Microsoft Word files to papers@journalmodernpm.com

Guidelines are on the JMPM website.

CUSTOMER SERVICE

For subscription, reprints, permissions or back issue orders, or service information:

email: info@journalmodernpm.com and web site about www.journalmodernpm.com

Call +55 41 3029-9397

OFFICE BRANCH

Local 206 - 370 rue des Forges Trois-Rivières, QC G9A 2H1 - Canada

The JMPM Publishing House



Rua Fernando Simas, 705/72 Curitiba-PR, Brazil, Postal code: 80430-190 ISSN 2317-3963

Reprints, Permissions, Back Issues

To reproduce or transmit one or more JMPM articles by eletronic or mechanical means (including photo-copying or archiving in any information storage retrieval system) requires written permission. Search for articles and order PDFs permissions or back issues at JMPM services on website. Or contact customer service.

Design Innovation in Project Organization

There is no doubt that agility and flexibility are key critical issues to correct in a modern project management marketplace — but how to actually build this capacity?

Behind these keywords there are at least two major foundations to be provided first:

- a. time-to-respond capacity and
- b. capability to get expert support at the right moment, both are skill items that best fix these project requirements to survive in the dynamic market environment. I mean, bring on the fly project execution, the change and timing capacity to the project organization.

Moreover, also considering on demand expert support and project contextualization facilities as foundations to change and timing capacity. The project environment should address it at an online service level to promote an adaptive organization. In this project organization innovation, it is not enough to place the focus on risk minimization approach only but also on the learn by doing approach is required. In other words, to manage resource efficiency and fast experiments learning need to be a native environment proposition.

This on demand competence service should be central in a problem-solving approach to promote focus on the list of project issues and share it to get an expert second opinion to reach better project decision results. Obviously that to provide a wide range of competences is a challenge but most of them should come from empirical cases and heuristic academics theory to applicable knowledge. The main objective is boundary empowerment in a project team and obviously project savings. Through the advisor's access on finger touch and to right timing the project practitioners have enough support to keep the project running consistently.

It's a responsive project organizing concept, which means that an environment will provide a problem-solving approach by online service access to make on demand specialized competences available in a timing-responce that addresses business flexibility and agility requirements. However, this team collaboration base requires new work division — cooperation and coordination. This new way of work breakdown and team composition should be a native practice applica-

tion in order to have a modern project management organization.

The modern project management has modified the correlation between division and allocation of labor in a teamwork project, applying this collaboration work method as flexibility to a temporary project organization — a team of multidisciplinary experts involved during the entire life cycle project in a fast and economic manner. External agents dynamically allocated in the expert's matter project. What is at stake is the adequate responsiveness and timing-response to maintain business attractiveness and keep the project life-cycle. Through the cooperation of external agents and a coordinated collaborative team the project results have more added business value. Specially because this brings the best tradeoff between savings and a ready change request, which is always a challenge to projects.

The source of the problem lies in the efforts to establish multidisciplinary teams in the projects running, a challenge that requires a close integration between cooperation and coordination throughout the project life cycle.

Mobilization and demobilization of experts in a multidisciplinary team is an inherent effort in projects. The teams are the "means" a company has to meet its business needs, especially those related to changes capacity, which emerge from market trade or more precisely from project stakeholders. So how to achieve balance between high performance, agility and flexibility on project team organization in a way that it can become a strategic company advantage? It should also be considered that these balancing criteria are not the only ones to be observed but also the restrictions regarding the environment in which this team works. In this scenario the timing of these expert's allocations and work distribution should provide a dynamic working model like "wrong before and learn fast" as critical success factors for a modern project management. Agility and precision sounds antagonistic, but there is a balance and the perhaps the answer is the right dosage of integration between cooperation, coordination and problem-solving focus to provide a rereading of the project management organization. An adaptive capacity to support frequent changes in a project lifecycle.



Zozimo
Editor-in-chief & JMPM Publisher