PROJECT MANAGERS PROFILES

KEYWORDS

IT project manager recruitment • IT project success • IT project manager qualifications

FOCUS on the most CRITICAL DEMANDS in IT project manager recruitment

1. INTRODUCTION - A BUNDLE OF DEMANDS ON IT PROJECT MANAGERS

IT projects are known to fail at a higher rate than other projects, and often is the project management questioned and pointed out as a kind of scapegoat (Avots, 1969, Gillard & Price, 2005; Ruuska & Vartiainen, 2003; Thomas & Mengel, 2008); the relevance is far from certain but often taken for granted. Still, there are various failure reasons connected to the IT sector, and information systems (IS) that could be blamed as well, which require specific methods for analyzing these (Fortune & White, 2006). Examples of reasons, or rather of critical success factors, are support from top management (Simonsen, 2007), realistic goals, continuously planning updates, feedback, and performance monitoring. Further, the fast pace of technical developments, problems to get relevant requirement criteria from the customers, who are not sufficiently initiated in current technical issues that are necessary for the project, could also negatively influence the success rates of IT projects. Lin and Parinyavuttichai (2015) state that IT projects', currently ongoing, risk escalation must be substantially countered. Thomas and Mengel (2008) highlights that project managers must be able to handle chaos, uncertainty, and complexity. Nevertheless, IT project risks in abundance, the IT project managers and the project management are still in focus for critique when it comes to dealing with unsuccessful IT projects. Hence, the qualifications and the requirements on the IT project managers are often huge, there are many versions of what should be needed (e.g. Blaskovics, 2016), not always agreed on. The project outcomes are expected to be successfully delivered to the customers, which besides fulfilling time and budget specifications (e.g. Antvik & Sjöholm, 2012), also should meet other demands on the products. The IT project managers' personality could be in focus (e.g. Marcusson & Lundqvist, 2016; Saade' et al.; 2015), levels of leadership that could enhance the team members' relationships (Yang et al., 2011), and whether a core competence view is applied or not (e.g. Lundqvist & Marcusson, 2015a; Marcusson & Lundqvist, 2015b). For IT project managers four attitudes are particularly put forward, i.e. stake-

holder-centric, technical oriented, planning based and strategic-oriented; each with five success criteria, i.e. time, cost, quality client satisfaction and stakeholder satisfaction (Blascovics, 2016). A project manager work close with the project sponsor, which makes it a necessity that these communicate actively (Burga & Rezania, 2017) and influence / are influenced by inter-relationships with managers and employees in the organizations (Chiucchi & Giuliani, 2017). This could be expressed according to Bertsche (2014, p. 50): "The project manager and the sponsor are the ultimate power couple: They're stronger together than apart". Such relationship requires a delicate act of balancing trust and mutual respect with current obligations end engagements. The project manager earns the sponsor's confidence by structured and timely delivered information (PMI, 2013). The project manager's work tasks mainly involve five processes, i.e. to initiate, plan, perform, monitor and control, and to finish (ibid.). Or as Axelos (2017, p. 9) put it: "Project management is the planning, delegating, monitoring and control of all as-

• ABSTRACT •

Many descriptions are available about the qualifications IT project managers are supposed to hold in order to be fully eligible and fit for employment. Sometimes these knowledge demands are apprehended as scary in a way that actually reject people from taking on a journey for such a job career. This research concerns how IT project managers in Swedish public authorities regard the demands on their profession; 46 questions regarding these matters are answered (survey 2016) by 82 individuals. The four most prominent requirements turned out to be: 1) experience from project management, 2) self-sufficiency 3a) being social, and on shared third place 3b) being co-operative. The three demands counted from the bottom ranking, concerned holding project management certifications. The findings are useful for practice because it could play down excessively described expectations and put the light on highly relevant requirements instead. For research, the findings provide input to further research.

SIW LUNDQVIST

- · Linnaeus University, Sweden
- Assistant Professor School of Business and Economics
- siw.lundqvist@lnu.se

pects of the project, and the motivation of those involved, to achieve the project objectives within the expected performance targets for time, cost, quality, scope, benefits and risk". IPMA (2015a, 2015b) highlights professionalism and discipline to uphold the standards and guidelines.

Yet another perspective on IT project manager skills is whether he / she needs project management (PM) certifications or not. The certification organizations (e.g. IPMA 2015a, 2015b; PMI 2013, 2015; PRINCE2, 2017) not surprisingly, advocate PM certifications. Lindgren and Packendorff, (2009) and Morris et al. (2006) put forward that these certifications could help to play down project managers' stress, as career models often do, and could also be an important step of project management professionalization. The latter could be particularly helpful for those who lack other formal educations (Morris et al., 2006). One recruitment study however, found that PM certifications were mentioned as qualification requirement in 4.3 percent, and as additional qualification in 11.7 percent (Lundqvist & Marcusson, 2015a), and another one found that certifications were demanded in 20.5 percent of the ads. (Ahsan et al, 2013). Further, heavy critique is directed towards PM certifications that primarily

concern the certifications relevance per se, the research in the field of project management standards, and connections to project success, which is hard to prove (Crawford, 2000, 2005; Löwenfeldt, 2009; Morris et al. 2006). Still, PM certifications are known to prove project managers' knowledge about project methods, i.e. to be qualified for the job even though there sometimes are requirements concerning knowledge about the current sector as well that could be more prominently demanded than project method skills.

The purpose of this research is to explore how IT project managers apprehend the demands that are put on their profession in order to get a picture of which requirements that are seen as more relevant than others are. This is important since there are a bundle of demands on the IT project managers (often connected to the technological part of IT projects too) that could reject, otherwise suitable, individuals from applying for these jobs, and maybe even from choosing this career path in the first place. The IT project managers' opinions are especially interesting since they are actively working in this field and therefore being initiated to judge about what is more and what is less important criteria. The principal motivation to scrutinize these opinions is in order to gain more knowledge for appointing the "right" individuals as IT project managers, which is one of the cornerstones for successful IT projects. Not least important since IT projects are known to have an especially low success rate compared to other types of projects, and projects in the public sector show "few signs that any lessons have been learnt" (Brouwer, 2011). Hence, the subject is relevant for IT projects in both private and public sector. Further, the research on recruitment of IT project managers is scarce, which highlights a gap in IT project management research. A prior study among public sector IT project managers showed that they to higher degree prefer informal interaction and agile approaches for knowledge transfer (Karagoz et al., 2016). This research scrutinizes Swedish IT project managers, active in the public sector.

Hence, the research question is: How do IT project managers, in Swedish public sector, apprehend the qualification criteria that are put on their profession?

2. PRIOR RESEARCH ABOUT IT PROJECT MANAGER RECRUITMENT

IT project success is to a big part considered to depend on the IT project manager's achievements. There are not that many studies about this subject; in this section, some research that are considered relevant are presented.

--- 2.1 Intelligence analytical metods ---

Dodangeh et al. (2014) propose that choosing the most appropriate candidate for an appointment requires intelligence analytical methods. The human resources regarding to carry out such are not satisfactorily reliable since they are too vague and uncertain, mainly due to fuzziness. Statistical and experimental techniques are not adequate. Instead, multicriteria decision-making (MCDM) techniques, i.e. a new linguistic reasoning, should be applied as a means to enhance and further develop a better selection procedure as a complement to traditional human resource selection (ibid.). Dainty et al. (2005) developed a competency-based model for predicting performance concerning project managers' performance that focus on core competencies. The findings show that "self-control' and 'team leadership' were the most predictive behaviors of effective project management performance within the framework" (ibid. p. 2), and these could therefore be helpful to search for in recruitment situations.

--- 2.2 Delphi panel ---

Keil et al. (2013) started out from 48 project manager skills that their respondents (Delphi panelists) should categorize and after three rounds, 19 of these stood out as more important than the remaining. These 19 were comprised into seven categories: 1) communication, 2) team management, 3) project management, 4) people skills, 5) personal characteristics, 6)

70 JOURNAL OF MODERN PROJECT MANAGEMENT • MAY/AUGUST • 2018

organizational, and 7) problem solving (presented in the ranking order, based on mean rank). Besides, the ranking of categories, the 19 skills were ranked and the top-five were: 1) leadership (from the team management category), 2) verbal communication (team management), 3) scope management (project management category), 4) listening (communication), and 5) project planning (project management). Follow-up interviews after the panels resulted in motives for why these five were considered important (ibid.). Keil et al. (2013) suggest that these findings are carefully considered when IT project managers are groomed and recruited.

--- 2.3 Literature study, interviews, and focus groups ---

Fisher (2011) studied what skills and behaviors an effective project manager should possess and found management of people to be the most important, particularly as concerns IT project management. His study includes a literature review, individual interviews, and focus groups. Fisher's (ibid.) research ended up in the following list of skills and behaviors: understanding behavioral characteristics, leading others, influencing others, authentizotic behavior (e.g. show open concern for others, provide empowerment, understand others, and accept others for who they are), conflict management, and cultural awareness. A prior step i.e. after the literature review and individual interviews (before the focus groups) ranked the following skills as highly important: managing emotions, building trust, communicate effectively, motivating others, influencing others, and being culturally aware. Additionally, team building was ranked as being important. Nevertheless, Fischer also point out that project managers need both technical skills and people skills: "The adoption and application of people skills are not a panacea for success" (ibid. p. 1001). Still, the soft skills that are related to knowledge about how to handle people from various perspectives are highlighted as those that are necessary to complement the technical skills, the project management applications and methods included.

-- 2.4 Analysis of project manager ads. in Australia and New Zealand --

Ahsan et al. (2013) studied advertisements (ads.) for project managers and took a starting point in the 15 most desired and cited KSA dimensions (i.e. knowledge, skills, & abilities) from the literature, that were ranked as follows: 1) leadership, 2) effective communication, 3) project technical expertise, 4) team building and management, 5) planning skill, 6) flexibility, 7) organizational skill, 8) decision-making skill, 9) management skill, 10) delegation, 11) analytical abilities, 12) problem solver, 13) coping with situations, 14) interpersonal skills, and 15) stakeholder management. 762 job advertisements in Australian and New Zealand were collected of which 52.5 percent originated from information and communication technology industry. The analysis of the ads. resulted in the following ranking list of KSAs (across countries and industries): 1) communication (61.68%), 2) technical skills (43.57%), 3) stakeholder management (41.73%), 4) cost management (37.40%), 5) time management (32.68 %), 6) educational background (28.61%), 7) planning (26.12%), 8) leadership (24.41%), 9) team build and management (22.57%), and 10) certification (20.47%). Ahsan et al. (2013) compared the findings from the ads. (i.e. the demand side) with the literature findings (i.e. the supply side) and found that cost management, time management, educational background, and certification were not ranked in the literature but in the analyzed ads. The findings are recommended as helpful for human resource personnel standing before recruitment tasks, not least in order to formulate the job ads, adequately (ibid.).

--- 2.5 Analysis of IT project manager ads. in Sweden ---

A longitudinal study analyzing 325 job ads. for IT project managers found that PM certifications were not particularly sought after from the employees; 4.3 percent of the ads. mentioned them as qualification requirement, and 11,7 percent as additional qualification (Lundqvist & Marcusson, 2014). The study findings stressed the following as principal project manager tasks: to lead (45.1%), plan (19.9%), report (16.4%), cooperate (9.7%), to communicate (4.9%), participate in product development (2.1%), and to educate (1.9%) Lundqvist & Marcusson, 2015a). The ads. sometimes lacked information and the work tasks that the IT project man-

ager were supposed to handle were not mentioned in 32.3 percent of the ads., personality traits were not mentioned in 21.8 percent of the ads., and 17.8 percent lacked from information about knowledge / education; experience was not mentioned in 6.2 percent of the ads. (Marcusson & Lundqvist, 2015c). The top three qualifications from the study were: project management experience (80.3%), academic degree / education (66.8%), and language knowledge/skill (54.2%) (Marcusson & Lundqvist, 2015b). The five most important personality traits according to advertisement (Marcusson & Lundqvist, 2016) is 1) driven (38.5%), 2) communicative (32.0%), 3) structured (21,2%), 4) self-sufficient (20,0%), and 5) social ability (19.1%). The findings from the longitudinal study of IT project manager ads. led to the suggestion to apply a core competence lens when it comes to recruitment and training of IT project managers (ibid.). Such an approach will help the employers that are about to recruit to focus on the most important issues instead of throwing around a lot of qualification requirement without relevance to the core competences that are needed. If the project core competences are not acknowledged the chances for successful outcomes from the projects will consequently be severely lowered (ibid.). Another suggestion from the study is that qualifications that are related to basic, general, and standardized project management knowledge (e.g. provided by certification organizations) does not need to be particularly focused in the recruitment ads. It is argued that it would be more practical and efficient to primarily put forward project, company, and sector specific demands (Marcusson & Lundqvist, 2015c).

3. METHOD

--- 3.1 Data collection and analysis of the data ---

The survey behind this research is based on a prior designed questionnaire that was originally used in 2007. The questionnaire as such consisted of 146 questions of which 53 questions (background questions and sub-questions), which are applied for this research about the demands on IT project managers. All Swedish authorities, i.e. 535, were contacted and asked about contact information for their IT project managers, since they were the target group for the survey. Of these 535 authorities, 50.3 percent (269) answered to the request and should forward a message to the individual that with knowledge about the IT project managers (49.7% did not answer), so they in turn could point out the individuals that worked as IT project managers. Of those that answered to the initial request (205) it was 73 (36%) that actually did participate, and 104 questionnaires were sent to 73 different authorities, from which 82 IT project managers took part in the survey. Some of those that answered but did not participate said that their authority were not suitable for participating since they had outsourced their IT or stood right before an outsourcing of the IT department. The data was collected during 2016; a similar questionnaire had been used in 2007 (Marcusson, 2008), and the prior usage is behind a decision to not carry out a pilot for the questionnaire, even though some new questions had been added. The questions were answered by a 1 – 5 scale (5 represents the most positive alternative).

To start with the means were calculated that resulted in a ranking list of the demands that the IT project managers had rated according to the 1–5 scale. After that correlation tests were carried out. Cohen's (1988) suggestions are applied as the guideline, medium strength is between r=.30 to r=.49, beneath r=.30 represent weak correlations, above r=.49 are considered as strong correlations. IBM SPSS Statistics 22 was used for the data processing.

--- 3.2 Profile of the respondents ---

Questions

There were 104 questionnaires sent out to the public authorities, to which 82 individuals answered, with a response rate at 79 percent. The respondents were appointed in 73 authorities. There were 56.1 percent male and 43.9 percent female participants, born between 1951 and 1985 (median 1967). The remaining respondent profile is summarized in **Table 1** (N=82).

A sum up of **Table 1** shows that something that stands out regarding the respondent profile is that there were many individuals with rather short employment at the authority and at the same time, there were many who have several years of experience. A majority was employed in bigger authorities (78.1%). In addition, fewer hold cer-

Variables

What type of public authority do	Other	37.8
you work in?	Municipality	25.6
	County council/Region	15.9
	University	13.4
	Supervising authority	7.3
What is the emphasis of the	Other	29.3
authority? (multiple-choice item)	Education/Competence development	34.1
	Health and medical service	26.8
	Law	18.3
	Inspection and controlling	17.1
	Infrastructure	9.8
	National defense/Police/Fire department	4.9
	Research and development	6.1
	Event	1.2
Period of employment with the	0-3 years	39.0
authority?	4-6 years	19.5
	7-10 years	13.4
	11-20 years	19.5
	21 years	8.5
How many years of employment	0-3 years	9.8
in this occupation?	4-6 years	9.8
	7-10 years	11.0
	11-20 years	35.4
	21 years	34.1
How many are employed in this	1-50	2.4
authority?	51-100	3.7
	101-250	9.8
	251-500	6.1
	501-1000	17.1
	1001-	61.0
Do you hold a project	Yes, IPMA	8.5
management certificate?	Yes, PMI	3.7
	Yes, other	26.8
		61.0

tificates (39%) than do not (61%). The sub-questions concerning the demands on the IT project managers are accounted for in detail in Appendix A.

--- 3.3 Critique of the method---

A main problem with this research is that it was rather problematic to reach the individuals that were responsible for the IT departments, so they could inform the IT project managers that in turn announced their willingness to participate. It is possible that this somewhat complicated procedure led to that some of the possible participants never got the message. The IT departments are often overloaded with work and a task like this, i.e. a request for participation in a research survey, could easily be less prioritized than other tasks that were more pressing to take on immediately.

4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

--- 4.1 Experience is the most demanded and certification the least ---

It is obvious to everyone that have ever read job ads. for IT project managers that these are flooded with extensive information about all the qualifications that are needed. As if that was not enough, they are often imaginatively embroidered with an overload of personality traits and expectations of prior achievements as project manager. Still, the actual tasks that would be the most relevant are often not mentioned. The IT managers, who are those who are actually initiated, and therefore can relate to these demands, ranked experience as IT project manager highest, followed by being self-efficient, social, and co-operative (table 2). The qualifications that are included in the ranking list below (from the survey) are often mentioned also in the literature (section 1 and 2). Table 2 shows the ranking list of the respondents' opinions about the demands on IT project managers concerning experience, personality, and knowledge (the mean scores relate to the 1-5 scale). IT projects are known to fail more than other project types. Hence, the interest in which of all the demands that surround the IT project managers' profession that preferably should be announced as qualification requirements in case of IT project manager vacancies.

Table 2 makes it obvious that except experience of project management (highest ranked), and of IT (ranked as no. 10) as well as knowledge of the Swedish language (ranked as no. 9) various personality traits are among the demands that are highest ranked; of the 17th highest ranked demands, 14 of these are personality traits. Dodangeh et al. (section 2.1), Kiehl et al. (section 2.2), Fisher (section 2.3), Ahsan et al. (section 2.4), and Marcusson and Lundqvist / Lundqvist and Marcusson (section 2.5) have all ranked so called soft skills, and primarily leadership and communication skills as the most important qualification for being a successful project manager. More technically focused skills are mostly somewhat lower ranked. Even if Ahsan et al. (section 2.4) found technical skills to be the second most desired skill when they analyzed ads. The result from this research's ranking does however show a slightly different picture since experience from project management is highest ranked. Still, this is not easily interpreted since there are both technical and human parts in project management experiences and with that comes a possibility that some respondents only thought about the soft skills also in that case. However, the fact that the softer parts are distinctly pointed out among the demands for personality traits, makes it very likely that project management experience at least covers both parts. It is interesting that PM

72 JOURNAL OF MODERN PROJECT MANAGEMENT · MAY/AUGUST · 2018

Experience: as project manager 4.3 Personality: self-efficient 4.1 Personality: social 4.1 Personality: social 4.1 Personality: seponsible 4.1 Personality: deven 4.1 Personality: structured 4.0 Personality: communicative 4.0 Rowledge: Swedish language 3.9 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 Experience: Information Technology (IT) 3.8 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 Personality: estructured 3.7 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 Personality: generative 4.0 Personality: generative 4.0 Personality: generative 4.0 Resonality: generative 4.0 Personality: generative 4.0 Personality: generative 5.0 Personality: generative 5.0 Personality: generative 6.0 Rowledge: project management 3.7 Experience: leadership 3.3 Experience: information Systems (IS) 3.2 Experience: information Systems (IS) 3.2 Experience: information Systems (IS) 3.2 Personality: Creative 3.2 Experience: seator/branch/office 2.9 Personality: complex method / project model 3.1 Experience: seator/branch/office 2.9 Experience: seator/branch/office 2.9 Experience: iarge/complex projects 2.8 Experience: iarge/complex projects 2.8 Experience: iarge/complex projects 2.8 Experience: generative 6.0 Rowledge: project method / project model 2.8 Experience: generative 6.0 Rowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 Experience: group development 2.7 Anowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 Experience: the internet 2.3 Experience: business development 2.7 Rowledge: Pot certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 Rowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7	Rank	Demands	Mean
Personality: self-efficient 4.1 Personality: co-perative 4.1 Personality: croperative 4.1 Personality: structured 4.1 Rowledge: Swedish language 3.9 Personality: engaged 3.9 Personality: engaged 3.9 Personality: engaged 3.9 Personality: engaged 3.9 Personality: ladership qualities 3.8 Personality: flexible 3.8 Personality: flexible 3.8 Personality: team spirit 3.7 Personality: good listener 3.7 Personality: good listener 3.7 Personality: analytical 3.5 Personality: interactive 3.5 Personality: interactive 3.5 Rowledge: project method / project model 3.4 Experience: project management 3.3 Experience: project method / project model 3.1 Experience: information Systems (IS) 3.2 Experience: information systems (IS) 3.3 Experience: information systems (IS) 3.			4.35
3a Personality: social 4.1 3b Personality: co-operative 4.1 4 Personality: responsible 4.1 5 Personality: responsible 4.1 6 Personality: structured 4.0 7 Personality: communicative 4.0 8 Knowledge: Swedish language 3.9 9 Personality: engaged 3.9 10 Experience: Information Technology (IT) 3.8 11 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 12 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 13 Personality: team spirit 3.7 14 Personality: team spirit 3.7 15 Personality: good listener 3.7 16 Personality: good listener 3.7 17 Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7 18 Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7 19 Personality: interactive 3.5 20 Experience: leadership 3.3 21 Experience: leadership 3.3 22 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 23 Experience: linformation Systems (IS) 3.2 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Personality: Creative 3.2 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 27 Experience: small projects 2.9 28 Experience: small projects 2.9 29 Experience: seator/branch/office 2.9 29 Experience: seator/branch/office 2.9 29 Experience: agile projects 3.3 20 Experience: agile projects 2.8 31 Knowledge: ergolet method / project model 3.3 32 Experience: seator/branch/office 2.9 28 Experience: seator/branch/office 2.9 29 Experience: agile projects 2.8 30 Experience: agile projects 2.8 31 Knowledge: ergolet method / project model 2.8 32 Experience: agile projects 2.8 33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 35 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36 Experience: business development 2.7 37 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7	2		4.16
4 Personality: responsible 4.1. 5 Personality: driven 4.1. 6 Personality: structured 4.0. 7 Personality: communicative 4.0. 8 Knowledge: Swedish language 3.9. 9 Personality: engaged 3.9. 10 Experience: Information Technology (IT) 3.8. 11 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8. 12 Personality: team spirit 3.7. 14 Personality: customer oriented 3.7. 15 Personality: good listener 3.7. 16 Personality: good listener 3.7. 17 Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7. 18 Personality: fluit of initiative 3.5. 19 Personality: interactive 3.5. 20 Experience: project method / project model 3.4. 21 Experience: leadership 3.2. 22 Knowledge: project management 3.2. 23 Experience: linformation Systems (IS) 3.2. 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1. 25 Personality: businessilike 3.1. 26 Knowledge: English language 2.9. 27 Experience: small projects 2.9. 28 Experience: small projects 2.9. 29 Experience: large/complex projects 2.9. 20 Experience: large/complex projects 2.9. 21 Experience: large/complex projects 2.8. 22 Experience: large/complex projects 3.1. 23 Experience: large/complex projects 3.1. 24 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8. 35 Knowledge: groject method / project model 2.8. 36 Experience: agile projects 2.8. 37 Experience: agile projects 2.8. 38 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 3.1. 39 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1. 30 Experience: busines development 3.1. 31 Experience: business development 3.1. 32 Experience: business development 3.1. 33 Experience: business development 3.1. 34 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7. 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7. 39 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5.	3a	Personality: social	4.15
5 Personality: driven 4.1 6 Personality: structured 4.0 7 Personality: communicative 8 Knowledge: Swedish language 9 Personality: engaged 3.9 9 Personality: leadership qualities 1.1 Personality: leadership qualities 1.2 Personality: flexible 1.3 Personality: team spirit 1.4 Personality: customer oriented 1.5 Personality: good listener 1.6 Personality: good listener 1.7 Personality: analytical 1.8 Personality: analytical 1.9 Personality: mestige less / humble 1.7 Personality: analytical 1.8 Personality: analytical 1.9 Personality: interactive 1.0 Experience: project method / project model 1.0 Experience: leadership 1.1 Experience: leadership 1.2 Knowledge: project management 1.3 Experience: leadership 1.3 Experience: leadership 1.4 Knowledge: project method / project model 1.5 Experience: leadership 1.6 Experience: leadership 1.7 Experience: leadership 1.8 Experience: leadership 1.9 Experience: leadership 1.0 Experience: leadership 1.0 Experience: leadership 1.1 Experience: leadership 1.2 Knowledge: project method / project model 1.2 Experience: leadership 1.3 Experience: leadership 1.4 Knowledge: project method / project model 1.5 Personality: Oreative 1.6 Knowledge: English language 1.7 Experience: sector/branch/office 1.8 Experience: large/complex projects 1.8 Experience: large/complex projects 1.8 Experience: large/complex projects 1.8 Experience: agile project method / project model 1.8 Experience: agile projects 1.9 Experience: leadershic education in is/IT 1.8 Experience: leadershic education in sector / branch / office 1.9 Experience: business development 1.1 Experience: business development 1.2 Experience: business development 1.3 Experience: business development 1.4 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 Rowledge: PM certification from pman, other than those mentioned above 1.7 Rowledge: PM certification from ther educator t	3b	Personality: co-operative	4.15
5 Personality: driven 4.1 6 Personality: structured 4.0 7 Personality: communicative 8 Knowledge: Swedish language 3.9 9 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 11 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 12 Personality: team spirit 3.7 13 Personality: customer oriented 3.7 14 Personality: customer oriented 3.7 15 Personality: good listener 3.7 16 Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7 17 Personality: flexible 3.8 3.9 3.9 3.9 4 Personality: analytical 3.7 5 Personality: analytical 3.7 5 Personality: analytical 3.7 5 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 5 Experience: project method / project model 3.6 2.7 2.8 Knowledge: project management 3.7 3.8 Experience: leadership 3.9 Personality: businesslike 3.1 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.3 4 Knowledge: English language 3.2 5 Experience: small projects 3.2 5 Experience: large/complex projects 3.0 5 Experience: dedernic education in IS/IT 3.1 5 Personality: customer 3.2 5 Personality: Description on projects 3.3 6 Experience: agile projects 3.4 6 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 3.8 Experience: agile projects 3.9 3.0 Experience: agile projects 3.0 Experience: agile projects 3.1 5 Knowledge: academic education in Is/IT 3.1 5 Experience: business development 3.2 5 Personality: business development 3.3 5 Experience: business development 3.4 5 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 3.5 5 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 3.7 5 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 3.7 5 Nnowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 3.7 5 Nnowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 3.7 5 Nnowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above	4		4.12
7 Personality: communicative 4.0 8 Knowledge: Swedish language 3.9 9 Personality: engaged 3.9 10 Experience: Information Technology (IT) 3.8 11 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 12 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 13 Personality: leadership qualities 3.7 14 Personality: team spirit 3.7 15 Personality: good listener 3.7 16 Personality: good listener 3.7 17 Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7 18 Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7 19 Personality: proble initiative 3.5 18 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 19 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 20 Experience: project method / project model 3.4 21 Experience: leadership 3.3 22 Knowledge: project management 3.3 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 3.2 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Personality: Creative 3.2 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 27 Experience: sality: businesslike 3.1 28 Experience: sality: businesslike 3.1 29 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.1 20 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.1 21 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.1 22 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 23 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.1 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Experience: sality: projects 2.9 28 Experience: sality: projects 2.9 29 Experience: sality: projects 2.9 29 Experience: sality: projects 2.9 20 Experience: sality: projects 2.8 30 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.8 31 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.8 32 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.8 33 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.8 34 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.8 35 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.8 36 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.8 37 Experience: sality: project method / project model 3.8 38 Experience: project portfolio 3.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification fr	5		4.11
8 Knowledge: Swedish language 9 Personality: engaged 3.9 9 Personality: engaged 3.9 10 Experience: Information Technology (IT) 3.8 11 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 12 Personality: flexible 3.8 13 Personality: team spirit 4 Personality: customer oriented 3.7 14 Personality: good listener 3.7 15 Personality: productive service of listener 3.7 16 Personality: productive service of listener 3.7 17 Personality: productive service of listener 3.7 18 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 18 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 19 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 20 Experience: project method / project model 3.4 21 Experience: leadership 3.3 22 Knowledge: project management 3.3 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 3.2 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Personality: Creative 3.2 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 27 Experience: service method / project model 3.1 28 Experience: service method / project model 3.1 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.3 4.3 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.5 5.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5 6.5	6	Personality: structured	4.09
9 Personality: engaged 3.9 10 Experience: Information Technology (IT) 3.8 11 Personality: leadership qualities 3.8 12 Personality: flexible 3.7 13 Personality: team spirit 3.7 14 Personality: customer oriented 3.7 15 Personality: good listener 3.7 16 Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7 17 Personality: grestige less / humble 3.7 18 Personality: metarcitive 3.5 19 Personality: interactive 3.5 20 Experience: project method / project model 3.1 21 Experience: leadership 3.2 22 Knowledge: project management 3.3 23 Experience: linformation Systems (IS) 3.2 23b Personality: Creative 3.2 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Personality: businesslike 3.1 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 27 Experience: large/complex projects 3.2 38 Experience: sector/branch/office 3.2 39 Experience: large/complex projects 30 Experience: lollowing up on projects 30 Experience: lollowing up on projects 30 Experience: large/complex projects 31 Experience: sector / branch / office 32 Experience: sector / branch / office 33 Experience: sector / branch / office 34 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 35 Experience: group development 36 Experience: group development 37 Knowledge: export method / project model 38 Experience: group development 39 Knowledge: excor / branch / office 30 Experience: sugle projects 31 Knowledge: project method / project model 32 Knowledge: excor / branch / office 33 Experience: sugle projects 34 Knowledge: excor / branch / office 35 Experience: sugle projects 36 Experience: sugle project model 37 Knowledge: excor / branch / office 38 Experience: sugle projects 39 Experience: sugle projects 30 Experience: sugle projects 31 Knowledge: excor / branch / office 32 Experience: sugle projects 33 Experience: sugle projects 34 Knowledge: excor / branch / office 35 Experience: sugle project method / project model 36 Experience: sugle project model 37 Knowledge: excor / branch / office (other than academic) 38 Knowledge: PM certification from ther educator than those mentioned above 39 Knowled	7	Personality: communicative	4.00
Experience: Information Technology (IT) 11 Personality: leadership qualities 12 Personality: leadership qualities 13 Personality: team spirit 14 Personality: customer oriented 15 Personality: good listener 16 Personality: prestige less / humble 17 Personality: prestige less / humble 18 Personality: prestige less / humble 19 Personality: principal distence 19 Personality: prestige less / humble 20 Experience: project method / project model 21 Experience: leadership 22 Knowledge: project management 23 Experience: leadership 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: Creative 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 27 Experience: small projects 28 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 20 Experience: large/complex projects 21 Experience: small projects 22 Experience: sulget responsibility 23 Experience: sulget project method / project model 24 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 25 Personality: businessibility 26 Experience: sulget projects 27 Experience: sulget projects 28 Experience: sulget projects 29 Experience: sulget projects 20 Experience: sulget projects 21 Experience: sulget projects 22 Experience: sulget projects 23 Experience: sulget projects 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: Creative 26 Experience: sulget projects 27 Experience: sulget projects 28 Experience: sulget projects 29 Experience: sulget projects 20 Experience: sulget projects 21 Experience: sulget projects 31 Experience: sulget projects 32 Knowledge: experience: sulget projects 33 Experience: sulget projects 34 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 25 Experience: sulget projects 36 Experience: sulget projects 37 Knowledge: PM certification from ther educator than those mentioned above 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 39 Knowledge: PM certification from the	8	Knowledge: Swedish language	3.99
11 Personality: leadership qualities 12 Personality: flexible 13 Personality: team spirit 14 Personality: customer oriented 15 Personality: good listener 16 Personality: prestige less / humble 17 Personality: prestige less / humble 18 Personality: full of initiative 19 Personality: full of initiative 19 Personality: full of initiative 20 Experience: project method / project model 21 Experience: leadership 22 Knowledge: project management 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: Creative 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 27 Experience: small projects 28 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: agile projects 20 Experience: agile projects 21 Experience: agile projects 22 Experience: agile projects 23 Experience: agile project method / project model 34 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 35 Experience: agile project method / project model 36 Experience: group development 37 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 38 Experience: group development 39 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 30 Experience: the internet 31 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 31 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 32 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 33 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above	9	Personality: engaged	3.93
12 Personality: team spirit 3.7 14 Personality: customer oriented 3.7 15 Personality: good listener 3.7 16 Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7 17 Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7 18 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 19 Personality: interactive 3.5 20 Experience: project method / project model 21 Experience: leadership 22 Knowledge: project management 3.3 23a Experience: Information Systems (IS) 3.2 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.4 25 Experience: leadership 3.2 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 27 28 Experience: small projects 3.2 29 Experience: small projects 3.1 20 Experience: small projects 3.2 21 Experience: small projects 3.2 22 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Experience: small projects 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.6 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9	10	Experience: Information Technology (IT)	3.89
13 Personality: team spirit 14 Personality: good listener 15 Personality: good listener 16 Personality: prestige less / humble 17 Personality: analytical 18 Personality: full of initiative 19 Personality: full of initiative 20 Experience: project method / project model 21 Experience: leadership 22 Knowledge: project management 33 Experience: linformation Systems (IS) 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: Creative 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 27 Experience: small project model 28 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 20 Experience: large/complex projects 21 Experience: large/complex projects 22 Experience: gile projects 23 Experience: agile projects 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: businesslike 26 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 27 Experience: large/complex projects 28 Experience: gile projects 29 Experience: gile projects 20 Experience: gile projects 21 Experience: agile projects 22 Experience: gile projects 23 Experience: gile project method / project model 24 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 25 Personality: business development 26 Experience: the internet 27 Experience: the internet 28 Experience: the internet 29 Experience: business development 20 Experience: business development 20 Experience: project portfolio 21 Experience: project portfolio 22 Experience: project portfolio from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 38 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 39 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 30 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above	11	Personality: leadership qualities	3.87
14 Personality: customer oriented 15 Personality: good listener 16 Personality: prestige less / humble 17 Personality: analytical 18 Personality: full of initiative 19 Personality: full of initiative 20 Experience: project method / project model 21 Experience: leadership 22 Knowledge: project management 33 Experience: linformation Systems (IS) 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: Creative 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 27 Experience: small project model 28 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 20 Experience: large/complex projects 21 Experience: large/complex projects 22 Experience: gile projects 23 Experience: agile projects 24 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 25 Experience: agile projects 26 Experience: agile projects 27 Experience: agile projects 28 Experience: agile projects 29 Experience: gile projects 20 Experience: gile projects 21 Experience: agile project method / project model 22 Experience: gile project method / project model 23 Experience: group development 24 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 25 Experience: the internet 26 Experience: the internet 27 Experience: business development 28 Experience: business development 29 Experience: project portfolio 20 Experience: project portfolio 21 Experience: project portfolio promother educator than those mentioned above 30 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 31 Knowledge: PM certification from pompany, other than those mentioned above 32 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above	12	Personality: flexible	3.82
15 Personality: good listener 16 Personality: prestige less / humble 17 Personality: analytical 18 Personality: full of initiative 19 Personality: interactive 20 Experience: project method / project model 21 Experience: leadership 22 Knowledge: project management 33.3 23 Experience: linformation Systems (IS) 3.2 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Personality: Creative 3.2 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 27 Personality: businesslike 3.1 28 Experience: small projects 3.2 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3	13	Personality: team spirit	3.76
Personality: prestige less / humble 3.7 Personality: analytical 3.5 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 Personality: interactive 3.5 Personality: interactive 3.5 Personality: interactive 3.6 Personality: project method / project model 3.7 Personality: Creative 3.7 Personality: Creative 3.8 Personality: Creative 3.9 Personality: Creative 3.1 Personality: businesslike 3.2 Personality: businesslike 3.3 Personality: businesslike 3.1 Personality: businesslike 3.1 Personality: businesslike 3.2 Personality: businesslike 3.3 Personality: businesslike 3.1 Personality: businesslike 3.2 Personality: businesslike 3.3 Personality: businesslike 3.4 Personality: businesslike 3.5 Personality: businesslike 3.6 Personality: businesslike 3.7 Personality: businesslike 3.8 Personality: businesslike 3.9 Personalit	14		3.72
17 Personality: analytical 3.5 18 Personality: full of initiative 3.5 19 Personality: interactive 3.5 20 Experience: project method / project model 3.4 21 Experience: leadership 3.3 22 Knowledge: project management 3.3 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 3.2 23b Personality: Creative 3.2 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Personality: businesslike 3.1 26 Knowledge: English language 27 Experience: small projects 28 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: sector/branch/office 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 20 Experience: budget responsibility 30 Experience: budget responsibility 30 Experience: agile projects 31 Experience: agile projects 32 Experience: agile projects 33 Experience: group development 34 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 35 Experience: group development 36 Experience: the internet 37 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 38 Experience: business development 39 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 30 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 30 Knowledge: PM certification from Other educator than those mentioned above 30 Knowledge: PM certification from Other educator than those mentioned above 30 Knowledge: PM certification from Other educator than those mentioned above 31 Knowledge: PM certification from Other educator than those mentioned above 32 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 33 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 34 Knowledge: PM certification from Other educator than those mentioned above 35 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above	15		3.71
18 Personality: full of initiative 20 Experience: project method / project model 21 Experience: leadership 22 Knowledge: project management 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: Creative 26 Knowledge: project method / project model 27 Experience: small projects 28 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: sector/branch/office 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 20 Experience: budget responsibility 30 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 31 Experience: agile projects 32 Experience: agile projects 33 Experience: agile projects 34 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 35 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 36 Experience: the internet 37 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 38 Experience: the internet 39 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 30 Experience: business development 31 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 32 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 33 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 34 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 35 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 36 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 37 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 39 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 30 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 31 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 31 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 32 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above	16	Personality: prestige less / humble	3.70
19 Personality: interactive 20 Experience: project method / project model 3.4 21 Experience: leadership 3.3 22 Knowledge: project management 3.3 23a Experience: Information Systems (IS) 3.2 23b Personality: Creative 3.2 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Personality: businesslike 3.1 26 Knowledge: English language 2.9 27 Experience: small projects 2.9 28a Experience: small projects 2.9 28b Experience: large/complex projects 2.9 29 Experience: large/complex projects 30a Experience: budget responsibility 3.0b Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 3.1a Experience: agile projects 3.1b Knowledge: project method / project model 3.2 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 3.3 Experience: group development 3.4 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 3.5 Experience: the internet 3.5 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 3.6 Experience: business development 3.7 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 3.8 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 3.9 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 3.5 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 3.5 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 3.6 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 3.7 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 3.8 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 3.9 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 3.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 3.6 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 3.7 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above	17	Personality: analytical	3.56
Experience: project method / project model 21 Experience: leadership 22 Knowledge: project management 3.3 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 23b Personality: Creative 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: businesslike 26 Knowledge: English language 27 Experience: small projects 28 Experience: small projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: budget responsibility 30b Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 31a Experience: agile project 31b Knowledge: project method / project model 32 Knowledge: group development 33 Experience: group development 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 35a Experience: the internet 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 36a Experience: project portfolio 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above	18	Personality: full of initiative	3.54
21 Experience: leadership 22 Knowledge: project management 3.3 23 Experience: Information Systems (IS) 23b Personality: Creative 3.2 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 25 Personality: businesslike 3.1 26 Knowledge: English language 27 Experience: small projects 28 Experience: sector/branch/office 29 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: Idlowing up on projects 30a Experience: budget responsibility 30b Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 31a Experience: agile project 31b Knowledge: project method / project model 32 Knowledge: project method / project model 32 Experience: group development 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 35a Experience: the internet 36b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 39 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 30 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 31.5	19	Personality: interactive	3.52
22 Knowledge: project management 23a Experience: Information Systems (IS) 23b Personality: Creative 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: businesslike 26 Knowledge: English language 27 Experience: small projects 28a Experience: small projects 28b Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: clarge/complex projects 29 Experience: budget responsibility 20 Experience: budget responsibility 21 Experience: agile projects 22 Experience: agile projects 30 Experience: agile projects 31 Experience: agile project method / project model 32 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 33 Experience: group development 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 35 Experience: the internet 36 Experience: the internet 37 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 38 Experience: business development 39 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 17 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 15 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 15 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 15 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 15 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 15 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 15 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above	20	Experience: project method / project model	3.40
Experience: Information Systems (IS) 23b Personality: Creative 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Personality: businesslike 26 Knowledge: English language 27 Experience: small projects 28a Experience: sector/branch/office 28b Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: following up on projects 20 Experience: budget responsibility 21 Experience: agile projects 30 Experience: agile projects 31 Experience: agile projects 32 Knowledge: project method / project model 32 Knowledge: project method / project model 33 Experience: group development 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 35 Experience: the internet 36 Experience: the internet 37 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 38 Experience: business development 39 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	21	Experience: leadership	3.39
Personality: Creative 3.2 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 Fersonality: businesslike 3.1 Knowledge: English language 2.9 Experience: small projects 2.9 Experience: sector/branch/office 2.9 Experience: large/complex projects 2.9 Experience: following up on projects 3.0 Experience: budget responsibility 2.8 Showledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 Lexperience: agile projects 2.8 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.2 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.3 Experience: group development 3.4 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 3.5 Experience: the internet 3.6 Experience: the internet 3.7 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 3.6 Experience: business development 3.7 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 3.7 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5	22	Knowledge: project management	3.30
Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 Knowledge: project method / project model 3.1 Knowledge: English language 2.9 Experience: small projects 2.9 Experience: sector/branch/office 2.9 Experience: large/complex projects 2.9 Experience: large/complex projects 2.8 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 Knowledge: project method / project model 2.8 Knowledge: project method / project model 2.8 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 2.7 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.7 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 Experience: the internet 2.3 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 Experience: business development 2.1 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	23a	Experience: Information Systems (IS)	3.29
25 Personality: businesslike 26 Knowledge: English language 27 Experience: small projects 28 Experience: sector/branch/office 28 Experience: large/complex projects 29 Experience: following up on projects 20 Experience: budget responsibility 20 Experience: budget responsibility 21 Experience: agile projects 22 Experience: agile projects 23 Experience: agile projects 24 Knowledge: project method / project model 25 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 26 Experience: group development 27 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 28 Experience: the internet 29 Experience: the internet 20 Experience: the internet 20 Experience: the internet 21 Experience: business development 22 Experience: business development 23 Experience: project portfolio 24 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 28 Experience above 29 Experience above 29 Experience and projects 20 Experience: project portfolio 21 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 29 Experience and projects 29 Experience academic education in IS/IT 20 Experience: project portfolio 21 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 30 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 31 Experience academic education from a company, other than those mentioned above 31 Experience academic education from a company, other than those mentioned above 30 Experience academic education from a company, other than those mentioned above 31 Experience academic education from a company, other than those mentioned above	23b	Personality: Creative	3.29
2.9 Knowledge: English language 2.9 Experience: small projects 2.9 Experience: sector/branch/office 2.9 Experience: large/complex projects 2.9 Experience: following up on projects 2.8 Experience: budget responsibility 2.8 Experience: budget responsibility 2.8 Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 Largerience: agile projects 2.8 Knowledge: project method / project model 2.8 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 2.7 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 2.7 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.5 Experience: the internet 2.6 Experience: the internet 2.7 Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.8 Experience: business development 2.9 Experience: business development 2.1 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5 Capped the projects of the project of the projects of the project of the pr	24	Knowledge: project method / project model	3.13
27 Experience: small projects 2.9 28a Experience: sector/branch/office 2.9 28b Experience: large/complex projects 2.9 29 Experience: following up on projects 2.8 30a Experience: budget responsibility 2.8 30b Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 31a Experience: agile projects 2.8 31b Knowledge: project method / project model 2.8 32 Knowledge: project method / project model 32 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 3.5a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	25	Personality: businesslike	3.12
28a Experience: sector/branch/office 2.9 28b Experience: large/complex projects 2.9 29 Experience: following up on projects 30a Experience: budget responsibility 2.8 30b Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 31a Experience: agile projects 2.8 31b Knowledge: project method / project model 32 Knowledge: project method / project model 32 Knowledge: sector / branch /office 33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	26	Knowledge: English language	2.99
Experience: large/complex projects 2.9 Experience: following up on projects 2.8 30a Experience: budget responsibility 2.8 30b Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 31a Experience: agile projects 2.8 31b Knowledge: project method / project model 2.8 32 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 2.7 33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	27	Experience: small projects	2.98
29 Experience: following up on projects 2.8 30a Experience: budget responsibility 2.8 30b Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 31a Experience: agile projects 2.8 31b Knowledge: project method / project model 2.8 32 Knowledge: sector / branch /office 2.7 33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	28a	Experience: sector/branch/office	2.94
30a Experience: budget responsibility 2.8 30b Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 31a Experience: agile projects 2.8 31b Knowledge: project method / project model 2.8 32 Knowledge: sector / branch /office 2.7 33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	28b	Experience: large/complex projects	2.94
30b Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT 2.8 31a Experience: agile projects 2.8 31b Knowledge: project method / project model 2.8 32 Knowledge: sector / branch /office 2.7 33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	29	Experience: following up on projects	2.89
31a Experience: agile projects 31b Knowledge: project method / project model 32 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 36a Experience: business development 3.6b Experience: project portfolio 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	30a	Experience: budget responsibility	2.88
31b Knowledge: project method / project model 2.8 32 Knowledge: sector / branch / office 2.7 33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	30b	Knowledge: academic education in IS/IT	2.88
32 Knowledge: sector / branch /office 33 Experience: group development 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	31a	Experience: agile projects	2.85
33 Experience: group development 2.7 34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.5 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	31b	Knowledge: project method / project model	2.85
34 Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office 2.4 35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	32	Knowledge: sector / branch /office	2.76
35a Experience: the internet 2.3 35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 2.3 36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	33	Experience: group development	2.70
35b Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic) 36a Experience: business development 36b Experience: project portfolio 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	34	Knowledge: academic education in sector / branch / office	2.45
36a Experience: business development 2.1 36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	35a	Experience: the internet	2.35
36b Experience: project portfolio 2.1 37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	35b	Knowledge: sector / branch / office (other than academic)	2.35
37 Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS 1.7 38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	36a	Experience: business development	2.18
38 Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above 1.7 39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	36b	Experience: project portfolio	2.18
39 Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above 1.5	37	Knowledge: PM certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 or GAPPS	1.76
	38	Knowledge: PM certification from other educator than those mentioned above	1.70
TABLE 02. The apprehended importance of the demands on IT project managers (N=82)	39	Knowledge: PM certification from a company, other than those mentioned above	1.56
		TABLE 02. The apprehended importance of the demands on IT project managers (N=82)	

certifications are not more after-sought, neither in this research's findings (bottom-three),

nor in prior studies (section 2), since PM certifications are often raised as critical in various

literature often with coupling to the PM certification organs, such as PMI (2013, 2015), IPMA (2015a, 2015b), and PRINCE2 (2017). After all, the PM standardization organizations have gained a lot of status during the years since their appearance in the middle and late1960s (Lundqvist & Marcusson, 2014). These organizations' status and their propagation of the certifications included, are therefore to be expected, and there are other voices too that stress PM standards and certifications' value as status providers (e.g. Lindgren & Packendorff, 2009; Morris et al, 2006). Still, the connections between certified project managers and successful projects are difficult to prove (e.g. Crawford, 2000, 2005; Löwenfeldt, 2009). The latter could be a reason that IT project managers do not find the demands for PM certification (of any kind) as being important.

--- 4.2 Correlations - of interest and of no interest ---

4.2.1 Many strong correlations with the top-three demands on IT project managers

The demands that have been particularly focused are those that are ranked top-three (**figure 2**), only the strong two-tailed correlations on the p < .001 level are accounted for:

Experience as a project manager (ranked as the number 1 demand) showed strong correlations with: experience of IT (r = .601), large/complex projects (r = .516), and with the personality traits: being driven (r = .580), structured (r = .520), self-efficient (r = .579), co-operative (r = .591) and being engaged (r = .512). Experience of IT is inherent for an IT project manager, so it is not surprising that there is a strong correlation. Another angle of this is that as regards technical certifications there are plenty of them in the IT sector even if there are no strong correlations between experience as project manager and holding PM certifications. Nevertheless, Ahsan et al. (section 2.4) found that project technical expertise was on the third place of the most sought-after qualifications according to their literature review, however, their analysis of job ads. found technical skills on the second place.

Self-efficient personality (ranked as number 2) showed strong correlations with: experience of project management (r = .579), and with the personality traits: being driven (r = .765), communicative (r = .724), structured (r = .727), social (r = .856), leadership quality (r = .736), interactive (r = .589), co-operative (r = .724), responsible (r = .693), full of initiative (r = .532), having team spirit (r = .514), being a good listener (r = .507), being engaged (r = .663), prestige less/humble (r = .598), customer-oriented (r = .548), and being flexible (r = .554). Dodangeh et al. (section 2.1) found self-control as one of the most important behaviors of project management, and could be categorized as similar to self-efficient. Self-efficiency is also one of the top-five personality traits in another research that scrutinized ads. (section 2.5), and was mentioned in 20 percent of the ads. Being capable to lead oneself seem to be a factor of importance.

Social personality (ranked as number 3a) showed strong correlations with: being driven (r = .677), communicative (r = .693), structured (r = .680), self-efficient (r = .856), having leadership quality (r = .742), being interactive (r = .678), co-operative (r = .697), responsible (r = .698), being businesslike (r = .505), full of initiative (r = .549), having team spirit (r = .549)

569), being a good listener (r = .548), engaged (r = .612), customer-oriented (r = .568), prestige less/humble (r = .619), and being flexible (r = .577). These correlations show that there are many personality traits that are strongly correlated with being social. The five studies presented in section 2, all found critical qualification criteria that could be regarded as belonging to the demands that correlate to social personality in this research, e.g. team leadership (section 2.1), being skilled in communication / being communicative (sections 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5).

Co-operative personality (ranked as number 3b) showed strong correlations with: experience of project management (r = .591), being driven (r = .642), communicative (r = .689), structured (r = .695), self-efficient (r = .724), social (r = .697), having leadership quality (r = .633), responsible (r = .775), having team spirit (r = .563), being engaged (r = .631), and customer-oriented (r = .525). Being co-operative as an IT project manager deserves to be seriously acknowledged and attended to; it could be regarded as a core competence as an IT project manager due to several necessary questions that concern the technical side of the project and the information systems as well. Hence, there is a need for at least some understanding of IS/IT issues (sections 2.3 and 2.4), which touches the delicate question of why IT projects are more unsuccessful than others are (even if there are other valid explanations too). Further, complexity is inherently an issue in IT projects that is yet another perspective for which co-operative abilities are prerequisites.

4.2.2 The bottom-three demands only correlate strongly with themselves

Regarding the statistically significant correlations with the bottom-three demands, only the strong two-tailed correlations on the p < -001 level are accounted for. Just a glance at these findings is enough to grasp that various kind of PM certifications are solely strongly correlated with each other in this research. A finding that is on the one hand expected due to prior research findings (Lundqvist & Marcusson, 2014; Crawford, 2000, 2005; Löwenfeldt, 2009; Morris et al., 2006), and on the other hand surprising since it is often proclaimed in the literature that PM certifications are decisive for successful projects (IPMA, 2015a, 2015b; PMI, 2013, 2015; and PRINCE2, 2017).

Project management certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 and GAPPS (third lowest ranked demand) showed strong correlations with: certification from other educators that certify project managers (r = .665) and from other companies that certify project managers (r = .727).

Project management certification from other educators than PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2 and GAPPS (second lowest ranked demand) showed strong correlations with: project management certification from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2, and GAPPS (r = .665), and certifications from companies that certify project managers (r = .782), and having experience from project portfolio (r = .508).

Project management certification from another company (lowest ranked demand) showed strong correlations with: project certifications from PMI, IPMA, PRINCE2, and GAPPS (r = .727), certifications from other project management educators (r = .782, and having experience from project portfolio (r = .509).

This research points in a direction that holding of PM certificates is not among the critical demands for IT project manager recruitments.

4.2.3 Weak correlations with the respondent profile

In this section, there are not any strong correlations; most statistically significant correlations are weak and only comprehensively mentioned, whereas a medium strong correlation is accounted for in detail. The fact that there are only weak cor-

relations (on the p < .05 level) between the respondent profile regarding if they held PM certifications or not, and the demands that the respondents gave their opinions about, gave an even more convincing answer to the low importance of these certifications. Not even those who held PM certifications themselves thought that these were important. The remaining of the respondent profile did only correlate medium strongly in one case, i.e. between years of employment as an IT project manager and having a flexible personality.

Holding project management certifications. The answers to the question about whether the respondents held any certifications (or not) showed some weak two-tailed correlations on the p < .05 level regarding the opinions about: the importance of holding certifications from IPMA, PMI, PRINCE2 and GAPPS, of being a good listener, having experience from large/complex projects, business development, IS, and of group development, being capable to take on responsibility, and having leadership qualities.

Years of employment in the current authority showed some weak correlations (p < .05) with opinions about project manager demands of: experience of project management, of IT, agile projects:, leadership, budget responsibility, following up on projects and of business development. Further, some weak correlations with: academic education in IS/IT, and with the following personality features: being social, customer oriented, structured and being prestige less/humble.

Years of employment as an IT project manager (i.e. in the occupation) showed some weak correlations (p < .05) with demands of: holding project management certifications, and having knowledge, and experience of the sector/branch/office.

The gender of the respondents showed one weak correlation with the demand of a self-efficient personality.

The respondents' year of birth showed a medium strong correlation with the opinions about the demand of a flexible personality (p < .05, r = .353, n = 82). Weak correlations with the demands of: being engaged, creative and being full of initiative.

The **counts of employee**s in the authorities did not correlate statistically significant with any of the opinions about the demands on project managers.

The findings from this research point in the same direction and are summarized in the conclusion section below. Taken together they could provide a basis for which criteria that are relevant to point out in IT project manager recruitment. All with the aim to play down overwhelming

5. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER RESEARCH PROPOSAL

The research question is: How do IT project managers, in Swedish public sector, apprehend the qualification criteria that are put on their profession?

The answer comprises several parts that still could be summarized into the following conclusion: Experience as an IT project manager was regarded to be the most important requirement, closely accompanied by personality traits, i.e. self-efficiency, being social, and being co-operative, that are often mentioned as critical for being a good project manager. The ranking list also provided information about the qualifications that were apprehended as the least important. The bottom-three on the ranking list are all about PM certifications.

Future research in this area should be qualitatively carried out with deep interviews in order to be more specific, and to have the opportunity to go more in

74 JOURNAL OF MODERN PROJECT MANAGEMENT · MAY/AUGUST · 2018

details with the qualifications and demands that are usually raised as important. Even those that were not regarded as important by the IT project managers themselves (i.e. holding PM certifications) are interesting to know more about since they are still promoted as critical in some contexts. Additionally, the standards represented by the PM certifications could be a starting point for recruitment, more or less taken for granted, and therefore could leave place for what is significant for a specific project/branch/ organization. Particularly since the project appointments are often temporary, and when they are more permanent, e.g. in an organization that often apply projects, it is still relevant according to the branch/organization. Further, the fact that many of the authorities participating in this research had outsourced, or should do so in a near future, was something that possibly could have given information about why the outsourcing was on the table. That would probably have required another questionnaire, but should maybe had been done in order to get an even more complete picture of the public authorities and the IT project managers' opinions. IT project managers' foremost strength is to have experience from prior IT projects, which could be a tricky thing since you need to start somewhere. Hence, it is particularly important to dig deeper for further knowledge about which of the bundles of demands that should be decisive and focused on.

The main implication for research is as input to further, deepened studies about de most relevant recruitment criteria; for practice the foremost implication is to attract the best individuals without making them hesitate because of too many, and rather imprecise, qualification demands.

To sum up, the top-three demands (table 2) correlated strongly (cf. Cohen, 1988) with principally seven demands (table 3), i.e. four beside themselves: Experience of IT, driven, responsible, and leadership quality. Except experience of IT these represent human skills.

Top-three	Strongly correlated demands				
demands					
1 Experience of	Experience of IT	Co-operative	Driven		
project	(r = .601)	(r = .591)	(r = .580)		
management					
2 Self-efficient	Social	Driven	Leadership quality		
	(r = .856)	(r = .765)	(r = .736)		
3a Social	Self-efficient	Leadership quality	Responsible		
	(r = .856)	(r = .742)	(r = .698)		
3b Co-operative	Responsible	Self-efficient	Social		
	(r = .775)	(r = .724)	(r = .697)		
TABLE 03. The top-three demands' principal correlations					

Correlations with the bottom-three demands— are solely among themselves (strong though), and the correlations with the respondents' profile showed only weak correlations, except one medium strong correlation. Hence, project management experience, whatever that may comprise, experience of IT, which is more or less inherent in IT project management, and having human skills that are foremost connected to leadership, being co-operative, self-efficient, responsible, driven, and to have social skills seems to be factors that should be highlighted in IT project management recruitment. The main reason for this research was to find the critical and decisive qualification criteria that would be helpful for presenting clearly reachable demands. These should be used instead of the common bundle of demands that actually could scare the most experienced IT project managers in that they are overwhelmingly in their presenting of almost everything, both high and low, as well as a lots of synonyms. •

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to my colleague, assistant professor Leif Marcusson, who allowed me to use findings, from our joint study in 2016, for looking further into the demands on IT project managers on my own. I will also send my gratitude to those who used their valuable time for participating in the questionnaire in 2016.

• AUTHOR •



SIW LUNDQVIST, is assistant professor in Business Informatics at the School of Business and Informatics at Linnaeus University, Sweden. Her main research interest is Project Management and further research areas are organizational change, digital commerce, and wellness for young-elderly. She has practical experience from projects e.g. digitalized patient information, active citizenship, evaluation in county council, business

transitions to digital commerce, and digitalization of businesses in Kalmar county, Sweden. She has written a textbook about project planning.

• APPENDIX A - OUESTIONNAIRE •

The questions from the questionnaire that were actually used are listed below. These questions are sub-questions to a complementary question, compared with a prior study where the whole of this questionnaire was originally applied (Marcusson, 2008), and concern the IT project managers' opinions about the demands on IT project managers. The questions about the participant's background data (the profile) are included; the profile of the participants is available in sub-section 3.2.

- · What is your gender?
- · Your year of birth?
- What type of public authority do you work in?
- What is the emphasis of the authority? (multiple-choice item)
- Period of employment with the authority?
- How many years of employment in this occupation?
- · How many are employed in this authority?

--- Which are the demands of a new project manager? ---

- Project management certification from IPMA, PMI, GAPPS or Prince2?
- \bullet Project management certification from education company (other than above)?
- \bullet Project management certification from company (other than above)?
- · Experience of project management?
- · Experience of the sector / branch / office?
- · Experience of Information Technology (IT)?
- · Experience of project method / project model?
- Experience of agile projects?
- Experience of large / complex projects?
- Experience of Information Systems (IS)?

APPENDIX •

- Experience of Internet?
- Experience of leadership?
- Experience of project portfolio?
- · Experience of business development?
- Experience of following up on projects?
- Experience of small projects?
- Experience of group/team development?
- Experience of budget responsibility?
- Academic education in IS/IT?
- Academic education in the sector / branch / office?
- Education in project method / project model?

- Knowledge in Swedish (language)?
- Knowledge in English (language)?
- Knowledge about the sector / branch / office?
- Knowledge about project method / project model?
- · Personality: driven?
- · Personality: communicative?
- Personality: structured?
- Personality: self-sufficient?
- · Personality: social ability?
- Personality: leadership qualities?
- Personality: interactive?

- Personality: co-operative?
- · Personality: responsible?
- · Personality: businesslike?
- Personality: full of initiative?
- Personality: creative?
- Personality: team spirit?
- · Personality: good listener?
- Personality: engaged?
- Personality: customer oriented?
- Personality: prestige less / humble?
- Personality: flexible?
- · Personality: analytical?

• REFERENCES •

Antvik, S., & Sjöholm, H. (2012). Project management and methods, Lund: Studentlitteratur.

Ahsan, K., Ho, M., & Khan, S. (2013). Recruiting project managers: A comparative analysis of competencies and recruitment signals from job advertisements, doi: 10.1002/pmj.21366

 $\label{eq:avots, I. (1969). Why Does Project Management Fail?} Avots, I. (1969). Why Does Project Management Fail? California Management Review, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 77-82.$

Axelos (2017). Managing successful projects with PRINCE2, Axelos Global Best Practice. UK: The Stationary Office.

Bertsche, R. (2014). 7 steps to stronger relationships between project managers and sponsors, PM Network, September, pp. 50-55, www.pmi.org

Blaskovics, B. (2016). The impact of project manager on project success – The case of ICT sector, Society and Economy vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 261-281. Doi:10.1556/204.2016.38.2.7

Brouwer, G. E. (2011). Own motion investigation into ICTenabled projects, In consultation with the Victorian Auditor-General. Melbourne: Victorian Ombudsman, Victorian Government Printer

Burga, R., & Rezania, D. (2017). Project accountability: An exploratory case study using actor-network theory, International journal of project management, vol. 35, pp. 1024-1036, doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.05.001

Chiucchi, M.S., & Giuliani, M. (2017). Who's on stage? The roles of the project sponsor and the project leader in IC reporting, The electronic journal of knowledge management, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 183-193

Cohen, J.W. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Crawford, L. (2000). Profiling the Competent Project Manager. In: Project Management Research at the Turn of the Millennium: Proceedings of PMI Research Conference, 21 – 24 June, 2000, Paris, France, pp. 3-15. Sylva, NCL Project Management Institute.

Crawford, L. (2005). Senior management perceptions of project management competence. International Journal of Project Management, vol. 23, pp. 7-16,

doi: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2004.06.005

Dainty, A. R. J., Cheng, M.-I., & Moore, D. R. (2005).

Dainty, A. R. J., Cheng, M.-H., & Moore, D. R. (2005). Competency-based model for predicting construction project managers' performance, Journal of Management in Engineering, vol. 21, pp. 2-9. Dodangeh, J., Sorooshian, S., & Afshari, A.R. (2014). Linguistic extrension for group multicriteria project manager selection, Journal of Applied Mathematics, 2014, 8 pages, doi: 10.1155/2014/570398

Fisher, E. (2011). What practitioners consider to be the

skills and behaviours of an effective people project manager, International Journal of Project Management, vol 29, pp. 994 1002, doi: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.09.002

Fortune, J., & White, D. (2006). Framing of project critical

success factors by a systems model. International Journal of Project Management, vol. 24, pp. 53-65, doi: 10.1016/j. ijproman.2005.07.004

Gillard, S., & Price, J. (2005). The competencies of effective

project managers: A conceptual analysis. International Journal of Management, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 48 – 53. **IPMA.** (2015a). About Certification – moving PM competence

forward. Available: http://www.ipma.world/certification/ (2017-11-27)

IPMA. (2015b). Individual Competence Baseline for Project, Programme & Portfolio Management, IPMA Global Standard, 4th version, Switzerland: IPMA.

Karagoz, Y., Korthaus, A., & Augar, N. (2016). How do ICT project managers manage project knowledge in the public sector? An empirical enquiry from the Victorian Public Sector in Australia, Australasian Journal of Information Systems, vol 20, pp. 1 – 20, doi: 10.3127/AJIS.V2010.1313

Keil, M., Koo Lee, H., & Deng, T. (2013). Understanding the most critical skills for managing IT projects: A Delphi study of IT project managers, Journal of Information & Management, vol. 50, pp. 398 – 414, doi: 10.1016/j.im.2013.05.005

Lin, A.Y., & Parinyavuttichai, N. (2015). IS project risks as emergent phenomena: Towards a model of risk escalation and its management, Australasian Journal of Information Systems, vol 19, pp. 1-22.

Lundqvist, S., & Marcusson, L. (2014). Advertisements for ICT project managers show diversity between Swedish employers' and project management associations' views of PM certifications, Problems of management in the 21st Century, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 35-55.

Lundqvist, S., & Marcusson, L. (2015a). Apply a project core view to promote project success, Journal of Modern Project Management, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 100-109.

Löwenfeldt, J., (2009). It-konsulter: Certifiering lönar sig inte. IT24 – Affärerna, marknaden och människorna. Available: http://it24.idg.se/2.2275/1.209950/it-konsulter-

certifiering-lonar-sig-inte

Marcusson, L. (2008). Asymmetriskt påverkat beslutsfattande i IT-projekt. Thesis, Åbo Akademi University. Finland: Åbo Akademi Förlag; Oy Tibo-Trading Ab.

Marcusson, L, & Lundqvist, S. (2015b). "Applying a core competence model on Swedish job advertisements for IT project managers, International Journal of Information Technology Project Management, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 1-17. doi:10.4018/jijtpm.2015040101

Marcusson, L., & Lundqvist, S. (2015c). Why advertise the obvious? Learning outcomes from analyzing advertisements for recruitment of Swedish IS/IT project managers, International Journal of Information Systems and Project Management, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 39-56, doi:10.12821/

Marcusson, L., & Lundqvist, S. (2016). Swedish IT Project Managers' Personality Traits Mirrored in the Big Five, International Journal of Information Technology Project Management, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 1-14. doi:10.4018/

Morris, P.W.G., Crawford, L., Hodgson, D., Shepherd, M.M., & Thomas, J., (2006). Exploring the role of formal bodies of knowledge in defining a profession – The case of project management. International Journal of Project Management, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 710-721, doi: 10.1016/j.iiproman.2006.09.012

PMI. (2015). Managing up, PM Network, August, pp. 22-23, www.pmi.org

PMI. (2013). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide) Fifth Edition, Project Management Institute.

PRINCE2. (2017). PRINCE2 Courses and Certification for Project Management. Available: https://www.prince2.com/eur

Ruuska, I., & Vartiainen, M. (2003). Critical project competences – a case study, Journal of Workplace Learning vol. 15 no. 7/8, pp. 307 – 312, doi:10.1108/13665620310504774

Simonsen, J. (2007). Involving top management in IT projects, Communications of the ACM, vol 50, no. 8, pp. 53 – 58, doi: 10.1145/1278201.1278206

Thomas, J., & Mengel, T. (2008). Preparing project managers to deal with complexity – Advanced project management education, International Journal of Project Management, vol.26, no. 3, pp. 304-315, doi: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2008.01.001

76 JOURNAL OF MODERN PROJECT MANAGEMENT · MAY/AUGUST · 2018